


| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Search Notes  | Application/Control No. 10072238 | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SCHREMPP ET AL. |
| | Examiner Tang, Karen C | Art Unit 2151 |

| SEARCHED | | | |
|----------|---------------|---------|----------|
| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
| 711 | 144, 141, 118 | 9/14/07 | KT |
| 709 | 220 | 9/14/07 | KT |
| 707 | 1, 104 | 9/14/07 | KT |

| SEARCH NOTES | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Search Notes | Date | Examiner |
| East Search Notes | 9/14/07 | /KT/ |
| IEEE | 9/14/07 | /KT/ |
| East Search Notes709/217,219,225,216 and | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| IEEE | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| Google | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| ACM Search | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| Inventor Search | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| Double Patenting Search and has contained no double Patenting issues | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| Does not contains 101 because according to the specification page 16, the ID servers may comprise hardware and software, and the analysis module, according to page 11 of the specification, is containing a processor that is an hardware. Therefore, there isn't a 101 | 9/18/08 | /KT/ |
| Spoke to John Follasabee regarding with the term "operable" and whether or not should given a 112 2nd and has came to conclusion that there isn't any 112 2nd because the rejections has been given weights to the limitations following after "operable" | 9/24/08 | /KT/ |

| INTERFERENCE SEARCH | | | |
|---------------------|----------|------|----------|
| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|